

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed March 26, 2007 has been carefully considered.
Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Abstract of the Invention

The Abstract has been amended in order to better comply with U.S. patent practice.

Specification

The specification has been amended in order to address the objections raised in the Office Action. Specifically, suitable headings have been added.

Rejection(s) Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Claims 1-5 have been amended to address the informatlity issues raised in the Office Action.

Conclusion


In view of the preceding discussion, Applicants respectfully urge that the claims of the present application define patentable subject matter and should be passed to allowance.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone call would help advance prosecution of the present invention, the Examiner is kindly invited to call the undersigned attorney at the number below.

Please charge any additional required fees, including those necessary to obtain extensions of time to render timely the filing of the instant Amendment and/or Reply to Office Action, or credit any overpayment not otherwise credited, to our deposit account no. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,
THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP

Dated: 06/26/2007



Khaled Shami
Reg. No. 38,745

THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Tel. (408) 282-1855
Fax. (408) 287-8040